

## References

- Baayen, R. H. (1989). *A corpus-based approach to morphological productivity. Statistical analysis and psycholinguistic interpretation*. Centrum Wiskunde en Informatica.
- Baayen, R. H. (1992). Quantitative aspects of morphological productivity. In G. Booij & J. van Marle (Eds.), *Yearbook of morphology 1991* (pp. 109–149). Kluwer.
- Baayen, R. H. (1993). On frequency, transparency and productivity. In G. Booij & J. van Marle (Eds.), *Yearbook of morphology 1992* (pp. 181–208). Kluwer.
- Baayen, R. H., & Lieber, R. (1991). Productivity and English derivation: A corpus-based study. *Linguistics*, 29, 801–843.
- Baroni, M., Dinu, G., & Kruszewski, G. (2014). Don't count, predict! a systematic comparison of context-counting vs. context-predicting semantic vectors. *ACL (1)*, 238–247.
- Beckner, C., & Bybee, J. (2009). A usage-based account of constituency and reanalysis. *Language Learning*, 59, 27–46.
- Bengio, Y., Ducharme, R., Vincent, P., & Janvin, C. (2003). A neural probabilistic language model. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3, 1137–1155.
- Biber, D., Johansson, S., Leech, G., Conrad, S., & Finegan, E. (1999). *Longman Grammar of Spoken and Written English*. Longman.
- Borillo, A. (1998). *L'espace et son expression en français*. Editions Ophrys.
- Borillo, A. (1999). Partition et localisation spatiale: Les noms de localisation interne. *Langages*, 53–75.
- Bullinaria, J. A., & Levy, J. P. (2007). Extracting semantic representations from word co-occurrence statistics: A computational study. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39(3), 510–526.
- Church, K., & Hanks, P. (1990). Word association norms, mutual information, and lexicography. *Computational linguistics*, 16(1), 22–29.
- Collobert, R., Weston, J., Bottou, L., Karlen, M., Kavukcuoglu, K., & Kuksa, P. (2011). Natural language processing (almost) from scratch. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12, 2493–2537.
- Davies, M. (2007). *TIME Magazine Corpus*. <https://www.english-corpora.org/time/>
- Davies, M. (2008). *The Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA)*. <https://www.english-corpora.org/coca/>
- Davies, M. (2010). *The Corpus of Historical American English (COHA)*. <https://www.english-corpora.org/coha/>
- Deerwester, S., Dumais, S. T., Furnas, G. W., Landauer, T. K., & Harshman, R. (1990). Indexing by latent semantic analysis. *Journal of the American society for information science*, 41(6), 391–407.
- Desagulier, G. (2016). A lesson from associative learning: Asymmetry and productivity in multiple-slot constructions. *Corpus linguistics and linguistic theory*, 12(2), 173–219.
- Desagulier, G. (2019). Can word vectors help corpus linguists? *Studia Neophilologica*, 91(2), 219–240.
- Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018). Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.
- Dunning, T. E. (1993). Accurate methods for the statistics of surprise and coincidence. *Computational linguistics*, 19(1), 61–74.
- Earle, J. (1873). *The philology of the English tongue*. Clarendon Press.
- Erk, K., & Padó, S. (2010). Exemplar-based models for word meaning in context. *Proceedings of the acl 2010 conference short papers*, 92–97.

- Evert, S., & Baroni, M. (2007). *zipfR*: Word frequency distributions in R [(R package version 0.6-70 of 2020-10-10)]. *Proceedings of the 45th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, Posters and Demonstrations Sessions*, 29–32.
- Feltgen, Q. (2020). Diachronic emergence of zipf-like patterns in construction-specific frequency distributions: A quantitative study of the way too construction. *Lexis. Journal in English Lexicology*, (16).
- Fillmore, C., Kay, P., & O'Connor, C. (1988). Regularity and idiomaticity in grammatical constructions: The case of *let alone*. *Language*, 64(3), 501–538.
- Firth, J. R. (1957). A synopsis of linguistic theory 1930-55. *Studies in Linguistic Analysis (special volume of the Philological Society)* (pp. 1–32). The Philological Society.
- Fonteyn, L. (2021). Varying abstractions: A conceptual vs. distributional view on prepositional polysemy. *Glossa: A journal of general linguistics*, 6(1).
- French, R. M., & Labiouse, C. (2002). Four problems with extracting human semantics from large text corpora. *Proceedings of the Twenty-fourth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, 316–321.
- Gaeta, L., & Ricca, D. (2006). Productivity in Italian word formation: A variable-corpus approach. *Linguistics*, 44(1), 57–89.
- Glenberg, A. M., & Robertson, D. A. (2000). Symbol grounding and meaning: A comparison of high-dimensional and embodied theories of meaning. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 43(3), 379–401.
- Goldberg, A. E. (1995). *Constructions: a Construction Grammar Approach to Argument Structure*. University of Chicago Press.
- Goldberg, A. E. (2006). *Constructions at Work: The Nature of Generalization in Language*. Oxford University Press.
- Goldberg, A. E. (2019). *Explain me this*. Princeton University Press.
- Goldberg, A. E., & van der Auwera, J. (2012). This is to count as a construction. *Folia Linguistica*, 46(1), 109–132. <https://doi.org/10.1515/flin.2012.4>
- Gréa, P. (2017). Inside in french. *Cognitive Linguistics*, 28(1), 77–130.
- Hamilton, W. L., Leskovec, J., & Jurafsky, D. (2016). Diachronic word embeddings reveal statistical laws of semantic change. *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 1489–1501.
- Harris, Z. S. (1954). Distributional structure. *Word*, 10(2-3), 146–162.
- Hilpert, M. (2011). Dynamic visualizations of language change. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 16(4), 435–461.
- Hilpert, M. (2015). From *hand-carved* to *computer-based*: Noun-participle compounding and the upward strengthening hypothesis. *Cognitive Linguistics*, 26(1), 113–147.
- Hilpert, M. (2016). Change in modal meanings. *Constructions and Frames*, 8(1), 66–85.
- Hoffmann, S. (2005). *Grammaticalization and English complex prepositions: A corpus-based study*. Routledge.
- Huddleston, R. (1988). *English Grammar: An outline*. Cambridge University Press.
- Huddleston, R., & Pullum, G. K. (2002). *The Cambridge Grammar of English*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kay, P. (2013). The limits of (Construction) Grammar. In T. Hoffmann & G. Trousdale (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Construction Grammar* (pp. 32–48). Oxford University Press.
- Kay, P., & Fillmore, C. (1999). Grammatical constructions and linguistic generalizations: the *What's X doing Y?* construction. *Language*, 75(1), 1–33.
- Kruisinga, E. (1931). *A Handbook of Present-Day English* (Vol. Part II: English Accidence and Syntax). P. Noordhoff.

- Kulkarni, V., Al-Rfou, R., Perozzi, B., & Skiena, S. (2015). Statistically significant detection of linguistic change. *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web*, 625–635.
- Landauer, T. K., & Dumais, S. T. (1997). A solution to plato's problem: The latent semantic analysis theory of acquisition, induction, and representation of knowledge. *Psychological Review*, 104(2), 211.
- Langacker, R. W. (2010). Reflections on the functional characterization of spatial prepositions. *Corela. Cognition, Représentation, Langage*, (HS-7).
- Langacker, R. W. (2012). *Essentials of cognitive grammar*. Oxford University Press.
- Levy, O., & Goldberg, Y. (2014). Dependency-based word embeddings. *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, 302–308.
- Levy, O., Goldberg, Y., & Dagan, I. (2015). Improving distributional similarity with lessons learned from word embeddings. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 3, 211–225.
- Lund, K., & Burgess, C. (1996). Producing high-dimensional semantic spaces from lexical co-occurrence. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 28(2), 203–208.
- Mätzner, E. (1873). *Englische Grammatik. Erster Theil: Die Lehre vom Worte*. Weidmannsche Buchhandlung.
- Mikolov, T., Chen, K., Corrado, G., & Dean, J. (2013). Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space. *CoRR*, abs/1301.3781. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.3781>
- Mikolov, T., Sutskever, I., Chen, K., Corrado, G., & Dean, J. (2013). Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality. *CoRR*, abs/1310.4546. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.4546>
- Mikolov, T., Yih, W.-t., & Zweig, G. (2013). Linguistic regularities in continuous space word representations. *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT*, 746–751. <http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/N13/N13-1090.pdf>
- Miller, G. A., & Charles, W. G. (1991). Contextual correlates of semantic similarity. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 6(1), 1–28.
- Mun, S. (2021). *Polysemy resolution with word embedding models and data visualization: The case of adverbial postpositions -ey, -eyse, and -(u)lo in korean* (Doctoral dissertation). École Doctorale Connaissance, Langage et Modélisation. Paris Nanterre University.
- Osgood, C. E., Suci, G. J., & Tannenbaum, P. H. (1957). *The Measurement of Meaning*. University of Illinois press.
- Perek, F. (2016). Using distributional semantics to study syntactic productivity in diachrony: A case study. *Linguistics*, 54(1), 149–188.
- Perek, F. (2018). Recent change in the productivity and schematicity of the way-construction: A distributional semantic analysis. *Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory*, 14(1), 65–97.
- Perek, F. (2020). Productivity and schematicity in constructional change. *Nodes and Networks in Diachronic Construction Grammar*, 27, 141.
- Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1985). *A Comprehensive English Grammar*. Longman.
- Quirk, R., & Mulholland, J. (1964). Complex prepositions and related sequences. *English Studies*, 45, 64–73.
- Ross, J. R. (1967). *Constraints on Variables in Syntax* (Doctoral dissertation). Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Dept. of Modern Languages and Linguistics. Boston, MA.
- Sagi, E., Kaufmann, S., & Clark, B. (2011). Tracing semantic change with latent semantic analysis. *Current Methods in Historical Semantics*, 161–183.

- Seppänen, A., Bowen, R., & Trotta, J. (1994). On the so-called complex prepositions. *Studia Anglica Posnaniensia*, 29, 3–29.
- Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *The Bell system technical journal*, 27(3), 379–423.
- Sweet, H. (1892). *A New English Grammar – Logical and Historical* (Vol. Volume 1: Introduction, Phonology, and Accidence). Oxford University Press.
- Turney, P. D., & Pantel, P. (2010). From frequency to meaning: Vector space models of semantics. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 37, 141–188.
- Tyler, A., & Evans, V. (2003). *The Semantics of English prepositions: Spatial Scenes, Embodied Meaning, and Cognition*. Cambridge University Press.
- van der Maaten, L., & Hinton, G. (2008). Visualizing data using t-SNE. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 9, 2579–2605.
- Zeldes, A. (2012). *Productivity in Argument Selection: From Morphology to Syntax*. Mouton de Gruyter.